

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMSSIOMER FOR PATENTS F.O. Box 1697 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspbo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/684,490	10/04/2000	David C. Gelvin	SENS.P010	3128	
33717 CREENBERG	7590 05/07/2007 TRAIRIGUE	EXAMINER			
GREENBERG TRAURIG LLP 2450 COLORADO AVENUE, SUITE 400E SANTA MONICA, CA 90404			JACOBS, LASHONDA T		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2157		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
		,	05/07/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

P .. 9

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
09/684,490	GELVIN ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
LaShonda T. Jacobs	2157		

before the I ming of an Appear Brief	Examine	r	Art Unit							
	LaShond	a T. Jacobs	2157							
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address										
THE REPLY FILED <u>04 April 2007</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. 1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following										
time periods: a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the m b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of the statutory period for reply expires on: (2) the mailing date of the statutory period for reply expired for reply expired period for reply	nis Advisory Act ire later than SI or (b). ONLY C P 706.07(f).	on, or (2) the date set forth K MONTHS from the mailin HECK BOX (b) WHEN THI	g date of the final rejecti E FIRST REPLY WAS F	on. ILED WITHIN						
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL 2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of										
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a). AMENDMENTS										
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below); (c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or										
 (d) They present additional claims without cancelin NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.3364. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be non-allowable claim(s). 	a)). 1.121. See at n(s):	tached Notice of Non-Co	ompliant Amendment	,						
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-9,12-15,17,22-67,69-74 and 76-8 Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 10-11, 16, 18	provided belo <u>5</u> .	w or appended.	ill be entered and an e	explanation of						
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE 8. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action because applicant failed to provide a showing of good was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	, but before o	on the date of filing a N treasons why the affida	otice of Appeal will <u>no</u> vit or other evidence i	ot be entered s necessary and						
D. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).										
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached. REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER										
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet.										
12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement13. ☐ Other:	(s). (PTO/SB/0	8) Paper No(s)								
			·							

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant argue that Lu does not teach a real-time interface processor (RTIP) and an application processor, wherein the "RTIP performs real-time operations" and the "application processor performs high level processing functions. Also Applicant states that the at least one RTIP is coupled between the at least one interface port and the at least one application processor. However, the Examiner disagrees. Lu teaches a cellular private branch exchange for facilitating cellular communication for a first plurality of mobile station units in which includes a real-time processor coupled to a TDM bus and VM bus to perform protocol processing. The DSP section in Lu teaches the gateway node which includes a real-time processor and an application processor (DSP 559). According to Applicant claim language the gateway node includes at least one interface port, at least one real-time processor and at least one application processor, therefore Lu DSP section meet applicant 's claim language. By giving the broadest interestion of Applicant's caim language, Lu does teach a real-time interface processor and an application processor, wherein the RTIP performs real-time operations and the application processor performs high level processing functions in which the RTIO is coupled between the at least one interface port and at least one application processor.

ARIO ETIENNE
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER